

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/766,577 | 01/23/2001 | Norio Nagai | 0905-0254P-SP 2339 | |
| 2292 | 7590 12/08/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | EWART KOLASCH & B | MISLEH, JUSTIN P | | |
| PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2622 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|--------------|--|
| 09/766,577 | NAGAI, NORIO | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Justin P. Misleh | 2622 | |

| | Justin P. Misleh | 2622 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7) | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, and 4 - 10</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Amendments to the independent claims, especially with respect to the "prior to" limitation at least require further consideration and/or search.

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600